# Stanton Drew Pupil premium strategy statement

This statement details our school’s use of pupil premium (and recovery premium) funding to help improve the attainment of our disadvantaged pupils.

It outlines our pupil premium strategy, how we intend to spend the funding in this academic year and outcomes for disadvantaged pupils last academic year.

## School overview

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Detail | Data |
| School name | Stanton Drew Primary School |
| Number of pupils in school | 55 |
| Proportion (%) of pupil premium eligible pupils | 21.8% (15.4% 2021-22) |
| Academic year/years that our current pupil premium strategy plan covers **(3 year plans are recommended)** | 2021/22 – 2024/25 |
| Date this statement was published | December 2022 |
| Date on which it will be reviewed | July 2023 |
| Statement authorised by | Dieter Cook, Headteacher |
| Pupil premium lead | Andrew Marriott, Deputy Headteacher |
| Governor / Trustee lead | Phil Cocks, Governor |

**Funding overview**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Detail** | **Amount** |
| Pupil premium funding allocation this academic year | £13,850 |
| Recovery premium funding allocation this academic year | £2,000 |
| Pupil premium funding carried forward from previous years (enter £0 if not applicable) | £0 |
| **Total budget for this academic year**  If your school is an academy in a trust that pools this funding, state the amount available to your school this academic year | £15,850 |

# Part A: Pupil premium strategy plan

## Statement of intent

|  |
| --- |
| Our intention is that children at Stanton Drew Primary School, disadvantaged or not, will be in receipt of high-quality teaching and learning opportunities that are carefully planned and sequenced with a strong pedagogical approach underpinning our curriculum offer. We will use proven educational research to support our strategic decision-making and day-to-day implementation of this plan. The primary aim will always be to narrow the gap for our most disadvantaged learners but we acknowledge that a benefit from this plan will include progress for all.  In our school, we recognise that eligibility for free school meals does not always equate with disadvantage and also that some of our most disadvantaged pupils may not qualify as pupil premium. This knowledge of individual pupils’ specific needs, which includes social and emotional as well as learning, is a key driver of how we target support.  Stanton Drew Primary School is a small village school where the number of disadvantaged families can have a large effect on the percentage of pupil premium. In recent years, Stanton Drew has attracted families from across the Chew Valley and south Bristol. Several of these families are disadvantaged. This mobility is reflected in the data showing 78.2% of pupils joined in Reception. The pandemic disadvantaged children from a wide range of socio-economic backgrounds and as such determines how we implement this plan.  The Lighthouse Schools’ Partnership Disadvantaged policy draws on evidence and research from the EEF to develop its policy. As a school we have developed our RAPP to build on these principles in order to deliver the best possible provision for our disadvantaged pupils. The Trust believes the most effective way to ensure equal opportunities for all is to remove the barriers to underachievement for identified pupils at the earliest possible opportunity.  The report by the Education Endowment Fund (EFF) entitled EEF Guide to the Pupil Premium, as well as the EFF report entitled The Attainment Gap; and the previous joint report between the EEF and the Sutton Trust entitled Pupil Premium: The Next Steps (2015) all refer to the fact that the most effective learning opportunities for pupils who are disadvantaged are those that are based on early identification, high quality teaching, employment of proven and research based interventions which accelerate progress early on; and, importantly, learning that is tied in with ‘memorable experiences’.  Our approach has carefully considered the Trust Disadvantaged policy, and will also be responsive to individual needs to ensure no child is left behind because of disadvantage.  Our key principles are:   * A whole school approach where all stakeholders recognise, understand and take a role in improving outcomes for all children but especially the disadvantaged * Rigorous monitoring to identify needs early, intervention wherever needs are first identified and consequent support and challenge to ensure improved outcomes * Ensuring all children have an opportunity to be included in the full range of experiences being a child in our school can provide |

## Challenges

This details the key challenges to achievement that we have identified among our disadvantaged pupils.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Challenge number | Detail of challenge |
| 1 | Assessment, observations and discussions with pupils suggest disadvantaged pupils generally have greater difficulties with phonics than their peers. This negatively impacts their development as readers. |
| 2 | Summative assessments and Teacher judgements indicate that maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils is below that of non-disadvantaged pupils. |
| 3 | Summative assessments and Teacher judgements indicate that Reading and Writing attainment among disadvantaged pupils is below that of non-disadvantaged pupils. |
| 4 | The few numbers of disadvantaged families in our school can mean that children do not have similar life experiences. The small number of disadvantaged children in each class and the school as a whole means they are at risk of being isolated from the life experiences of their peers. |

## Intended outcomes

This explains the outcomes we are aiming for **by the end of our current strategy plan**, and how we will measure whether they have been achieved.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Intended outcome | Success criteria |
| Improved Reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils | Summative assessments (including PSC) show no statistically significant gap between disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged pupils. *(Note that small cohorts can affect reliability of data)* |
| Improved maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils | Summative assessments and Teacher judgements show improved outcomes from 2021 80% non-disadvantaged ARE and 56% disadvantaged ARE to a gap of <10% at most. *(Note that small cohorts can affect reliability of data)* |
| Improved Writing attainment among disadvantaged pupils | Teacher judgements show improved outcomes from 2021 66% non-disadvantaged ARE and 41% disadvantaged ARE to a gap of <10% at most. *(Note that small cohorts can affect reliability of data)* |
| Disadvantaged pupils are given the opportunity to take part in extra-curricular activities and experiences | Records from after-school clubs show a high rate of attendance from disadvantaged pupils |

## Activity in this academic year

This details how we intend to spend our pupil premium (and recovery premium funding) **this academic year** to address the challenges listed above.

### Teaching (for example, CPD, recruitment and retention)

Budgeted cost: £ 2900

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| Purchase of Nfer diagnostic assessments.  Additional training for staff to ensure results impact teaching | Standardised tests can provide reliable diagnostic insights to help ensure each pupil receives the correct additional support. They can also be instrumental in monitoring the impact of this support.  [EEF guide to the Pupil premium 1 and 4](https://d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net/documents/guidanceForTeachers/EEF-Guide-to-the-Pupil-Premium-Autumn-2021.pdf) | 1,2,3 |
| Involvement of teachers from across the school in Boolean Maths Hub work groups (DfE initiative through NCETM) Supply cover needed for release time to embed the mastery approach.  Use of Maths apps (TTRockstars and Numbots initially) to enhance curriculum offer | The DfE non-statutory guidance has been produced in conjunction with the National Centre for Excellence in the Teaching of Mathematics, drawing on evidence-based approaches:  [Maths\_guidance\_KS\_1\_and\_2.pdf (publishing.service.gov.uk)](https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/897806/Maths_guidance_KS_1_and_2.pdf)  [Improving Mathematics in Key Stages 2 and 3](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/public/files/Publications/Maths/KS2_KS3_Maths_Guidance_2017.pdf)  [Early Years and Key Stage 1 Mathematics Teaching: Evidence Review](https://d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net/documents/guidance/Early_Years_and_Key_Stage_1_Mathematics_Evidence_Review.pdf) | 2 |
| Developing and enhancing teaching through the application of Cognitive Science approaches: A programme of CPD (Using Rosenshine and Teaching Walkthrus) | The EEF states in their July 2021 review that: Cognitive science principles of learning can have a real impact on rates of learning in the classroom. There is value in teachers having working knowledge of cognitive science principles.  [EEF Cognitive Science approaches in the classroom:A review of the evidence](https://d2tic4wvo1iusb.cloudfront.net/documents/guidance/Cognitive_science_approaches_in_the_classroom_-_A_review_of_the_evidence.pdf) | 1,2,3 |

**Targeted academic support (for example, tutoring, one-to-one support structured interventions)**

Budgeted cost: £ 9500

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| Additional phonics sessions targeted at disadvantaged pupils who require further phonics support | Phonics approaches have a strong evidence base indicating a positive impact on pupils, particularly from disadvantaged backgrounds. Targeted phonics interventions have been shown to be more effective when delivered as regular sessions over a period up to 12 weeks:  [Phonics | Toolkit Strand | Education Endowment Foundation | EEF](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/phonics/) | 1,3 |
| Engaging with the National Tutoring Pro-gramme to provide a blend of tuition, mentoring and school-led tutoring for pupils whose education has been most impacted by the pandemic. A significant proportion of the pupils who receive tutoring will be disadvantaged, including those who are high attainers. | Tuition targeted at specific needs and knowledge gaps can be an effective method to support low attaining pupils or those falling behind, both one-to-one:  [One to one tuition | EEF (educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk)](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/one-to-one-tuition)  And in small groups:  [Small group tuition | Toolkit Strand | Education Endowment Foundation | EEF](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/evidence-summaries/teaching-learning-toolkit/small-group-tuition/) | 1,2,3 |
| Enhanced hours and professional development for TAs to deliver targeted interventions | Research which focuses on teaching assistants who provide one to one or small group targeted interventions shows a stronger positive benefit of between four and six additional months on average. Often interventions are based on a clearly specified approach which teaching assistants have been trained to deliver.  [EEF Teaching Assistant Interventions](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/teaching-assistant-interventions) | 1,2,3 |

**Wider strategies (for example, related to attendance, behaviour, wellbeing)**

Budgeted cost: £ 3450

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Activity | Evidence that supports this approach | Challenge number(s) addressed |
| Establish and monitor an inclusion strategy to ensure participation in the wider offer of school life, to include Arts participation | The impact of participation in creative Arts such as fine arts, drama, dance, poetry and creative writing is positive according to EEF.  [EEF Teaching and Learning Toolkit](https://educationendowmentfoundation.org.uk/education-evidence/teaching-learning-toolkit/arts-participation) | 4 |
| Contingency fund for acute issues | Experience has shown that a small amount should be reserved for issues that are as yet unplanned. | All |

**Total budgeted cost: £ 15850**

# Part B: Review of outcomes in the previous academic year

## Pupil premium strategy outcomes

This details the impact that our pupil premium activity had on pupils in the 2021 to 2022 academic year.

|  |
| --- |
| 1. **Improved Reading attainment among disadvantaged pupils**   Throughout 2021-22, PP children were prioritised during the teaching of Reading. Our English Lead has initiated a series of CPD sessions to enhance the knowledge and skills required in the teaching of Reading, accessing support from the Trust. This improvement to teaching will be embedded this year. In Summer 2022, 5 out of 10 PP pupils achieved the Expected standard for Reading for their year group. This compares with 6 out of 11 the previous year. One child who has slipped from EXS to Below has been targeted for extra support in their Reading skills through 121 sessions. Our single PP child taking the Y1 Phonics passed comfortably (67% non-PP). A significant gap remains between the attainment of PP children (50%) and non-PP children (91%) and as such this target retains a high priority.   1. **Improved maths attainment among disadvantaged pupils**   Teachers have benefitted from the skills and expertise of our Maths Lead, Cathy Lambert, who has undergone additional training from the Boolean Maths Hub to enable her to support other schools in addition to our own. This has included the introduction of a systematic means of learning and practising times tables, alongside using technology (as this may not be accessible at home for some pupils). Further training has been provided in Mastery approaches and the use of NCETM resources to enhance our White Rose-based curriculum. In the last academic year, 60% of PP children reached the Expected standard for their year group, compared to 85% of non-PP children. This target has proved challenging as 50% of last year’s PP children also have SEND needs. Small cohort sizes can drastically affect % data, so an adjustment will be made to this target this year to focus instead on individual learning journeys to target PP children to improve their attainment scores in standardised tests from Summer 2022 to Summer 2023. Extra CPD has already been planned to ensure responsive teaching becomes embedded in all our classrooms and this is used to intervene quickly to support our most vulnerable learners.   1. **Improved Writing attainment among disadvantaged pupils**   From the Summer 2022 teacher judgements, 40% PP children (4/10) were at Expected, compared with 69% non-PP. Again, the small number of children affect the %, though the gap has not narrowed, despite this being a focus. For this academic year, PP children have been and will continue to be supported in improving their writing through either additional 121 sessions or through extra-curricular tuition.   1. **Disadvantaged pupils are given the opportunity to take part in extra-curricular activities and experiences**   In the 2021-22 academic year, we were able to make all the extra-curricular clubs free to all children, including those which required an external specialist coach. Pupil premium children were encouraged to attend the clubs on offer and out of the 9 PP children in KS2, 4 came to all clubs on offer for at least one term. This academic year, we are only offering the free clubs to PP children. School residentials will continue to be funded 50% of the costs to enable participation. The parents of PP children are emailed directly to advise them about the range of free clubs on offer, though the requirement to use school transport to get home may affect attendance.  Attendance 2021/22 non-PP children 92.43% PP children 91.75% |